

Questions for South Carolina Public Service commissioners who vote in favor of DECLLC motion to dismiss, a motion unripe for lack of discovery. In case 2019-331-E.

1. 103-346 Where are the contracts? Repeated attempts to view and be informed have been denied, as per this rule they should already be on file for public viewing.
2. Amending terms and conditions and the right to be informed. It is an uncontested fact that terms and conditions are being amended with the digital meters, going from aggregate data to granular data, bringing into play "the internet of things". As a party to the agreement I have reserved the right to be informed of these changes in writing and have been ignored or denied every time. With the covenant of good faith and fair dealing existing in every contract, by what authority does this commission grant DECLLC to breach the original verbal contract for service, and deny me written terms and conditions to make an informed decision?
3. Please review the filings in this case and explain how this commission can violate the United States Constitution Article 1 section 10 clause 1, interfering in the obligations of contracts. Breaching their oath of office, and their duty to protect and defend the United States Constitution, giving DECLLC the ability to spy/surveillance on the citizens of South Carolina, and violate the most basic principals in contract.
4. Please review the filings in this case concerning the deceptive misleading that the MRM is a remedy, as I have previously stated and provided documentation that the MRM contract is only valid for one year, and DECLLC still refuses to provide the written terms and conditions for that contract. How can this commission in "good faith" continue to ignore these facts?
5. 103-342 reasons for denial of service: Under this rule there is no justification to shut off a parties electricity for requiring written terms and conditions to make an informed decision, and breaching the original verbal contract with the electromechanical analog meter. For a point of clarification please explain yourselves.
6. Motion to recuse for bias and impartiality: Please review the filings in this case and explain how this commission can ignore the motion and give zero response.

Enrique McMilion Jr. 2019-331-E